NO. PD-0618-16

CLINTON DAVID BECK	§	IN THE COURTEOF
	8	COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
V 7	8	1/11/2017 CRIMIMAHL AGRBFAA DIS ERK
v.	8	
	8	
THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	AT AUSTIN, TEXAS

STATE'S LETTER OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

Now comes the State of Texas, Appellee in the above-styled and -numbered cause, and files this its *Letter of Additional Authorities* which may be relevant and helpful to the Court's decision:

Ex parte Shay, WR-84,007-01, at *7 (Tex. Crim. App. Dec. 14, 2016) (Stating that "[a]fter this Court" previously held unconstitutional the exact portion of the improper photography statute upon which the applicant's conviction was based, *Smith v. State* [463 S.W.3d 890, 895 (Tex. Crim. App. 2015)] logically tended to support the argument that the subject-matter jurisdiction of the trial court was undermined) (emphasis added)).

The following citations also touch on various iterations of plain error review in some of the states cited by Appellant:

Arizona: *State v. Bolton*, 182 Ariz. 290, 297–98 (1995) (internal citations omitted); *see also State v. Henderson*, 209 Ariz. 300, n. 4 (App.2004), *vacated in part on other grounds by State v. Henderson*, 210 Ariz. 561, 115

P.3d 601 (2005); **Florida:** see State v. Johnson, 616 So. 2d 1, 3 (Fla. 1993); Massachusetts: See Com. v. Johnson, 470 Mass. 300, 307 (2014); **Mississippi:** Ezell v. State, 132 So. 3d 611, 612 (Miss. Ct. App. 2013); Montana: State v. Favel, 381 Mont. 472, 487–88 (2015) (McKinnon, J., specially concurring); New Mexico: State v. Hill, 144 N.M. 775, 782 (2008) North Carolina: State v. Jarrell, 157 N.C. App. 365 (2003) (failed to assert plain error on appeal); **Ohio:** State v. Quarterman, 140 Ohio St. 3d 464, 469 (2014); Utah: State v. Archambeau, 820 P.2d 920, 926 (Utah Ct. App. 1991); **Virginia:** Anderson v. Com., 2305-14-2, 2016 WL 1425426, at *7 (Va. Ct. App. Apr. 12, 2016) (not designated for publication); see also Banks v. Mario Indus. of Virginia, Inc., 274 Va. 438, 451 (2007); Washington: City v. Willis, 186 Wash. 2d 210, 218 (2016) (citing Rule of Appellate Procedure 2.5(a)(3)); State v. Paumier, 176 Wash. 2d 29, 53, 288 P.3d 1126, 1138 (2012) (Wiggins, J., dissenting); West Virginia: State v. Hall, 12-1082, 2013 WL 3184665, at *3 (W. Va. June 24, 2013) (not designated for publication).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joshua D. Presley
Joshua D. Presley SBN: 24088254
preslj@co.comal.tx.us
Comal Criminal District Attorney's Office
150 N. Seguin Avenue, Suite 307
New Braunfels, Texas 78130

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Joshua D. Presley, Assistant District Attorney for the State of Texas, Appellee, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this *State's Letter of Additional Authorities* has been delivered to Appellant CLINTON DAVID BECK's attorneys in this matter:

Terri R. Zimmermann & Jack B. Zimmermann
Terri.Zimmermann@ZLZSlaw.com & Jack.Zimmermann@ZLZSlaw.com
770 South Post Oak Lane, Suite 620
Houston, TX 77056
Counsel for Appellant on Appeal

By electronically sending it to the above-listed email addresses through efile.txcourts.gov, this 4th day of January, 2017.

/s/ Joshua D. Presley Joshua D. Presley